UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/542,114 | 12/01/2005 | Bogdan Bogdanovic | 930092-2013 | 8338 | |
| Frommer Lawro | 7590 04/23/200 ence & Haug | EXAMINER | | | |
| 745 Fifth Aven | ue | DEUBLE, MARK A | | | |
| New York, NY | 10131 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3651 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/23/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/542,114 | BOGDANOVIC, BOGDAN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Mark A. Deuble | 3651 | |

| | Mark A. Deuble | 3031 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | | |
| periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | in the final rejection, whi | chever is later. In | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | | - | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | E FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | | | e appeal. Since a | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | cause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | i L below), | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | • | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The claims include a new limitation hat the</u> members does not vary which has not previously b | | | | | | | |
| and 41.33(a)). | een considered and which require. | <u>s runner search.</u> . (See | 57 CH 1.110 | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmei | nt canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [| □ will not be entered or b) □ wi | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | t b - f - u - u - tb - d - t f - f' - u - N - | - 41 4 A 1 111 | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and | | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | a summerit reasons with the amaav | it of other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowers a because in the condition for allowers a because in the condition for allowers and the condition for allowers as a condition for allowers. | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | /Mark A. Deuble/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3651